BEFORE THE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

| In the Matter of the Accusation Against: |) |
|--|---------------------------|
| |) |
| |) |
| |) |
| Brandon Michael Ross, M.D. |) Case No. 10-2012-221360 |
| |) |
| Physician's and Surgeon's |) |
| Certificate No. A 76782 |) |
| |) |
| Respondent |) |
| · | |

DECISION

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby adopted as the Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on November 2, 2012.

IT IS SO ORDERED: October 3, 2012.

MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA

By:

Reginald Low, M.D., Chair

Panel B

| 1 | KAMALA D. HARRIS | | |
|----|---|---|--|
| 2 | Supervising Deputy Attorney General ALEXANDRA M. ALVAREZ Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 187442 110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101 P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 645-3141 | | |
| 3 | | | |
| 4 | | | |
| 5 | | | |
| 6 | | | |
| 7 | | | |
| 8 | Autorneys for Complanam | | |
| 9 | BEFORE THE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA | | |
| 10 | DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA | | |
| 11 | | 1 | |
| 12 | In the Matter of the Accusation Against: | Case No. 10-2012-221360 | |
| 13 | BRANDON MICHAEL ROSS, M.D. 4150 Regents Park Row Ste 245 | OAH No. 2012070488 | |
| 14 | La Jolla, CA 92037 | STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND DISCIPLINARY ORDER | |
| 15 | Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A76782 | | |
| 16 | Respondent. | | |
| 17 | | | |
| 18 | IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AG | REED by and between the parties to the above- | |
| 19 | entitled proceedings that the following matters a | are true: | |
| 20 | PARTIES | | |
| 21 | 1. Linda K. Whitney (Complainant) is the Executive Director of the Medical Board of | | |
| 22 | California. She brought this action solely in her official capacity and is represented in this matte | | |
| 23 | by Kamala D. Harris, Attorney General of the State of California, by Alexandra M. Alvarez, | | |
| 24 | Deputy Attorney General. | | |
| 25 | 2. Respondent Brandon M. Ross, M.D. (Respondent) is represented in this proceeding | | |
| 26 | by attorney Gerald Blank, Esq., whose address is 444 West C Street, Suite 200, San Diego, | | |
| 27 | California 92101. | | |
| 28 | /// | | |
| ļ | | 1 | |

///

- 3. On or about October 12, 2001, the Medical Board of California issued Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A76782 to Brandon M. Ross, M.D. (Respondent). The Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. 10-2012-221360 and will expire on August 31, 2013, unless renewed.
- 4. On or about June 7, 2012, *an ex parte* Interim Order of Suspension was issued immediately suspending Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A76782 and prohibiting respondent from practicing medicine in the State of California pending a noticed hearing. On or about June 18, 2012, a noticed hearing on the Interim Order of Suspension was conducted, and the Interim Order of Suspension was affirmed. As a result, respondent remains suspended from the practice of medicine as of the date of the execution by the parties of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Orderfiling of this Accusation.

JURISDICTION

5. On July 3, 2012, Accusation No. 10-2012-221360 was filed before the Medical Board of California (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, and is currently pending against Respondent. A true and correct copy of the Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on July 3, 2012. Respondent timely filed his Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation. A true and correct copy of Accusation No. 10-2012-221360 is attached hereto as Exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference.

ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- 6. Respondent has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 10-2012-221360. Respondent has also carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.
- 7. Respondent is fully aware of his legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against him; the right to present evidence and to testify on his own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of

documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.

8. Having the benefit of counsel, Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

CULPABILITY

- 9. Respondent does not contest that, at an administrative hearing, complainant could establish a *prima facie* case with respect to the charges and allegations contained in Accusation No. 10-2012-221360 and that he has thereby subjected his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A76782 to disciplinary action.
- 10. Respondent agrees that if he ever petitions for early termination or modification of probation, or if an accusation and/or petition to revoke probation is filed against him before the Medical Board of California, all of the charges and allegations contained in Accusation No. 10-2012-221360 shall be deemed true, correct and fully admitted by respondent for purposes of any such proceeding or any other licensing proceeding involving respondent in the State of California.
- 11. Respondent agrees that his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A76782 is subject to discipline and he agrees to be bound by the Board's imposition of discipline as set forth in the Disciplinary Order below.

CONTINGENCY

- 12. The parties agree that this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order shall be submitted to the Board for its consideration in the above-entitled matter and, further, that the Board shall have a reasonable period of time in which to consider and act on this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order after receiving it.
- 13. The parties agree that this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order shall be null and void and not binding upon the parties unless approved and adopted by the Board, except for this paragraph, which shall remain in full force and effect. Respondent fully understands and agrees that in deciding whether or not to approve and adopt this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, the Board may receive oral and written communications from its staff and/or the Attorney General's office. Communications pursuant to this paragraph shall not disqualify

the Board, any member thereof, and/or any other person from future participation in this or any other matter affecting or involving respondent. In the event that the Board, in its discretion, does not approve and adopt this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, with the exception of this paragraph, it shall not become effective, shall be of no evidentiary value whatsoever, and shall not be relied upon or introduced in any disciplinary action by either party hereto.

Respondent further agrees that should the Board reject this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for any reason, respondent will assert no claim that the Board, or any member thereof, was prejudiced by its/his/her review, discussion and/or consideration of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order or of any matter or matters related hereto.

ADDITIONAL PROVISIONS

- 14. The parties understand and agree that facsimile copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, including facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
- 15. This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is intended by the parties herein to be an integrated writing representing the complete, final and exclusive embodiment of the agreements of the parties in the above-entitled matter.
- 16. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Board may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order:

DISCIPLINARY ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A76782 issued to Respondent Brandon M. Ross, M.D. (Respondent) is revoked. However, the revocation is stayed and Respondent is placed on probation for ten (10) years from the effective date of this Decision on the following terms and conditions.

- 1. <u>ACTUAL SUSPENSION</u>. As part of probation, Respondent is suspended from the practice of medicine for 120 days beginning June 7, 2012.
- 2. <u>CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES TOTAL RESTRICTION</u>. Respondent shall not order, prescribe, dispense, administer, furnish, or possess any controlled substances as defined in

the California Uniform Controlled Substances Act.

Respondent shall not issue an oral or written recommendation or approval to a patient or a patient's primary caregiver for the possession or cultivation of marijuana for the personal medical purposes of the patient within the meaning of Health and Safety Code section 11362.5.

If Respondent forms the medical opinion, after an appropriate prior examination and a medical indication, that a patient's medical condition may benefit from the use of marijuana, Respondent shall so inform the patient and shall refer the patient to another physician who, following an appropriate prior examination and a medical indication, may independently issue a medically appropriate recommendation or approval for the possession or cultivation of marijuana for the personal medical purposes of the patient within the meaning of Health and Safety Code section 11362.5. In addition, Respondent shall inform the patient or the patient's primary caregiver that Respondent is prohibited from issuing a recommendation or approval for the possession or cultivation of marijuana for the personal medical purposes of the patient and that the patient or the patient's primary caregiver may not rely on Respondent's statements to legally possess or cultivate marijuana for the personal medical purposes of the patient. Respondent shall fully document in the patient's chart that the patient or the patient's primary caregiver was so informed. Nothing in this condition prohibits Respondent from providing the patient or the patient's primary caregiver information about the possible medical benefits resulting from the use of marijuana.

- 3. <u>CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES SURRENDER OF DEA PERMIT</u>. Respondent is prohibited from practicing medicine until Respondent provides documentary proof to the Board or its designee that Respondent's DEA permit has been surrendered to the Drug Enforcement Administration for cancellation, together with any state prescription forms and all controlled substances order forms. Thereafter, Respondent shall not reapply for a new DEA permit without the prior written consent of the Board or its designee.
- 4. <u>CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES ABSTAIN FROM USE</u>. Respondent shall abstain completely from the personal use or possession of controlled substances as defined in the California Uniform Controlled Substances Act, dangerous drugs as defined by Business and

Professions Code section 4022, and any drugs requiring a prescription. This prohibition does not apply to medications lawfully prescribed to Respondent by another practitioner for a bona fide illness or condition.

Within 15 calendar days of receiving any lawfully prescribed medications, Respondent shall notify the Board or its designee of the: issuing practitioner's name, address, and telephone number; medication name, strength, and quantity; and issuing pharmacy name, address, and telephone number.

If Respondent has a confirmed positive biological fluid test for any substance (whether or not legally prescribed) and has not reported the use to the Board or its designee, Respondent shall receive a notification from the Board or its designee to immediately cease the practice of medicine. The Respondent shall not resume the practice of medicine until final decision on an accusation and/or a petition to revoke probation. An accusation and/or petition to revoke probation shall be filed by the Board within 15 days of the notification to cease practice. If the Respondent requests a hearing on the accusation and/or petition to revoke probation, the Board shall provide the Respondent with a hearing within 30 days of the request, unless the Respondent stipulates to a later hearing. A decision shall be received from the Administrative Law Judge or the Board within 15 days unless good cause can be shown for the delay. The cessation of practice shall not apply to the reduction of the probationary time period.

If the Board does not file an accusation or petition to revoke probation within 15 days of the issuance of the notification to cease practice or does not provide Respondent with a hearing within 30 days of such request, the notification of cease practice shall be dissolved.

5. <u>ALCOHOL - ABSTAIN FROM USE</u>. Respondent shall abstain completely from the use of products or beverages containing alcohol.

If Respondent has a confirmed positive biological fluid test for alcohol, Respondent shall receive a notification from the Board or its designee to immediately cease the practice of medicine. The Respondent shall not resume the practice of medicine until final decision on an accusation and/or a petition to revoke probation. An accusation and/or petition to revoke probation shall be filed by the Board within 15 days of the notification to cease practice. If the

Respondent requests a hearing on the accusation and/or petition to revoke probation, the Board shall provide the Respondent with a hearing within 30 days of the request, unless the Respondent stipulates to a later hearing. A decision shall be received from the Administrative Law Judge or the Board within 15 days unless good cause can be shown for the delay. The cessation of practice shall not apply to the reduction of the probationary time period.

If the Board does not file an accusation or petition to revoke probation within 15 days of the issuance of the notification to cease practice or does not provide Respondent with a hearing within 30 days of such request, the notification of cease practice shall be dissolved.

6. <u>BIOLOGICAL FLUID TESTING</u>. Respondent shall immediately submit to biological fluid testing, at Respondent's expense, upon request of the Board or its designee. "Biological fluid testing" may include, but is not limited to, urine, blood, breathalyzer, hair follicle testing, or similar drug screening approved by the Board or its designee. Prior to practicing medicine, Respondent shall contract with a laboratory or service approved in advance by the Board or its designee that will conduct random, unannounced, observed, biological fluid testing. The contract shall require results of the tests to be transmitted by the laboratory or service directly to the Board or its designee within four hours of the results becoming available. Respondent shall maintain this laboratory or service contract during the period of probation.

A certified copy of any laboratory test result may be received in evidence in any proceedings between the Board and Respondent.

If Respondent fails to cooperate in a random biological fluid testing program within the specified time frame, Respondent shall receive a notification from the Board or its designee to immediately cease the practice of medicine. The Respondent shall not resume the practice of medicine until final decision on an accusation and/or a petition to revoke probation. An accusation and/or petition to revoke probation shall be filed by the Board within 15 days of the notification to cease practice. If the Respondent requests a hearing on the accusation and/or petition to revoke probation, the Board shall provide the Respondent with a hearing within 30 days of the request, unless the Respondent stipulates to a later hearing. A decision shall be received from the Administrative Law Judge or the Board within 15 days unless good cause can

be shown for the delay. The cessation of practice shall not apply to the reduction of the probationary time period.

If the Board does not file an accusation or petition to revoke probation within 15 days of the issuance of the notification to cease practice or does not provide Respondent with a hearing within 30 days of such request, the notification of cease practice shall be dissolved.

- 7. EDUCATION COURSE. Within one year of the effective date of this Decision, and on an annual basis thereafter, respondent shall submit to the Board or its designee for its prior approval educational program(s) or course(s) which shall not be less than 40 hours per year, for each year, beginning with the second year, of his probation. The educational program(s) or course(s) shall be aimed at correcting any areas of deficient practice or knowledge and shall be Category I certified. The educational program(s) or course(s) shall be at Respondent's expense and shall be in addition to the Continuing Medical Education (CME) requirements for renewal of licensure. Following the completion of each course, the Board or its designee may administer an examination to test Respondent's knowledge of the course. Respondent shall provide proof of attendance for 65 hours of CME of which 40 hours were in satisfaction of this condition.
- 8. PRESCRIBING PRACTICES COURSE. Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall enroll in a course in prescribing practices equivalent to the Prescribing Practices Course at the Physician Assessment and Clinical Education Program, University of California, San Diego School of Medicine (Program), approved in advance by the Board or its designee. Respondent shall provide the program with any information and documents that the Program may deem pertinent. Respondent shall participate in and successfully complete the classroom component of the course not later than six (6) months after Respondent's initial enrollment. Respondent shall successfully complete any other component of the course within one (1) year of enrollment. The prescribing practices course shall be at Respondent's expense and shall be in addition to the Continuing Medical Education (CME) requirements for renewal of licensure.

A prescribing practices course taken after the acts that gave rise to the charges in the Accusation, but prior to the effective date of the Decision may, in the sole discretion of the Board

or its designee, be accepted towards the fulfillment of this condition if the course would have been approved by the Board or its designee had the course been taken after the effective date of this Decision.

Respondent shall submit a certification of successful completion to the Board or its designee not later than 15 calendar days after successfully completing the course, or not later than 15 calendar days after the effective date of the Decision, whichever is later.

9. MEDICAL RECORD KEEPING COURSE. Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall enroll in a course in medical record keeping equivalent to the Medical Record Keeping Course offered by the Physician Assessment and Clinical Education Program, University of California, San Diego School of Medicine (Program), approved in advance by the Board or its designee. Respondent shall provide the program with any information and documents that the Program may deem pertinent. Respondent shall participate in and successfully complete the classroom component of the course not later than six (6) months after Respondent's initial enrollment. Respondent shall successfully complete any other component of the course within one (1) year of enrollment. The medical record keeping course shall be at Respondent's expense and shall be in addition to the Continuing Medical Education (CME) requirements for renewal of licensure.

A medical record keeping course taken after the acts that gave rise to the charges in the Accusation, but prior to the effective date of the Decision may, in the sole discretion of the Board or its designee, be accepted towards the fulfillment of this condition if the course would have been approved by the Board or its designee had the course been taken after the effective date of this Decision.

Respondent shall submit a certification of successful completion to the Board or its designee not later than 15 calendar days after successfully completing the course, or not later than 15 calendar days after the effective date of the Decision, whichever is later.

10. <u>PROFESSIONALISM PROGRAM (ETHICS COURSE)</u> Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall enroll in a professionalism program, that meets the requirements of Title 16, California Code of Regulations (CCR) section 1358.

Respondent shall participate in and successfully complete that program. Respondent shall provide any information and documents that the program may deem pertinent. Respondent shall successfully complete the classroom component of the program not later than six (6) months after Respondent's initial enrollment, and the longitudinal component of the program not later than the time specified by the program, but no later than one (1) year after attending the classroom component. The professionalism program shall be at Respondent's expense and shall be in addition to the Continuing Medical Education (CME) requirements for renewal of licensure.

A professionalism program taken after the acts that gave rise to the charges in the Accusation, but prior to the effective date of the Decision may, in the sole discretion of the Board or its designee, be accepted towards the fulfillment of this condition if the program would have been approved by the Board or its designee had the program been taken after the effective date of this Decision.

Respondent shall submit a certification of successful completion to the Board or its designee not later than 15 calendar days after successfully completing the program or not later than 15 calendar days after the effective date of the Decision, whichever is later.

11. <u>PSYCHOTHERAPY</u>. Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall submit to the Board or its designee for prior approval the name and qualifications of a California-licensed board certified psychiatrist or a licensed psychologist who has a doctoral degree in psychology and at least five years of postgraduate experience in the diagnosis and treatment of emotional and mental disorders. Upon approval, Respondent shall undergo and continue psychotherapy treatment, including any modifications to the frequency of psychotherapy, until the Board or its designee deems that no further psychotherapy is necessary.

The psychotherapist shall consider any information provided by the Board or its designee and any other information the psychotherapist deems relevant and shall furnish a written evaluation report to the Board or its designee. Respondent shall cooperate in providing the psychotherapist any information and documents that the psychotherapist may deem pertinent.

Respondent shall have the treating psychotherapist submit quarterly status reports to the Board or its designee. The Board or its designee may require Respondent to undergo psychiatric

evaluations by a Board-appointed board certified psychiatrist. If, prior to the completion of probation, Respondent is found to be mentally unfit to resume the practice of medicine without restrictions, the Board shall retain continuing jurisdiction over Respondent's license and the period of probation shall be extended until the Board determines that Respondent is mentally fit to resume the practice of medicine without restrictions.

Respondent shall pay the cost of all psychotherapy and psychiatric evaluations.

12. MONITORING - PRACTICE Within 30 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall submit to the Board or its designee for prior approval as a practice monitor, the name and qualifications of one or more licensed physicians and surgeons whose licenses are valid and in good standing, and who are preferably American Board of Medical Specialties (ABMS) certified. A monitor shall have no prior or current business or personal relationship with Respondent, or other relationship that could reasonably be expected to compromise the ability of the monitor to render fair and unbiased reports to the Board, including but not limited to any form of bartering, shall be in Respondent's field of practice, and must agree to serve as Respondent's monitor. Respondent shall pay all monitoring costs.

The Board or its designee shall provide the approved monitor with copies of the Decision and Accusation, and a proposed monitoring plan. Within 15 calendar days of receipt of the Decision, Accusation, and proposed monitoring plan, the monitor shall submit a signed statement that the monitor has read the Decision and Accusation, fully understands the role of a monitor, and agrees or disagrees with the proposed monitoring plan. If the monitor disagrees with the proposed monitoring plan, the monitor shall submit a revised monitoring plan with the signed statement for approval by the Board or its designee.

Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, and continuing throughout probation, Respondent's practice monitor shall be monitored by the approved monitor. Respondent shall make all records available for immediate inspection and copying on the premises by the monitor at all times during business hours and shall retain the records for the entire term of probation.

If Respondent fails to obtain approval of a monitor within 60 calendar days of the effective

date of this Decision, Respondent shall receive a notification from the Board or its designee to cease the practice of medicine within three (3) calendar days after being so notified. Respondent shall cease the practice of medicine until a monitor is approved to provide monitoring responsibility.

The monitor shall submit a quarterly written report to the Board or its designee which includes an evaluation of Respondent's performance, indicating whether Respondent's practices are within the standards of practice of medicine, and whether Respondent is practicing medicine safely. It shall be the sole responsibility of Respondent to ensure that the monitor submits the quarterly written reports to the Board or its designee within 10 calendar days after the end of the preceding quarter.

If the monitor resigns or is no longer available, Respondent shall, within 5 calendar days of such resignation or unavailability, submit to the Board or its designee, for prior approval, the name and qualifications of a replacement monitor who will be assuming that responsibility within 15 calendar days. If Respondent fails to obtain approval of a replacement monitor within 60 calendar days of the resignation or unavailability of the monitor, Respondent shall receive a notification from the Board or its designee to cease the practice of medicine within three (3) calendar days after being so notified Respondent shall cease the practice of medicine until a replacement monitor is approved and assumes monitoring responsibility.

In addition to the foregoing, Respondent shall also participate in a professional enhancement program equivalent to the one offered by the Physician Assessment and Clinical Education Program at the University of California, San Diego School of Medicine, that includes, at minimum, quarterly chart review, semi-annual practice assessment, and semi-annual review of professional growth and education. Respondent shall participate in the professional enhancement program at Respondent's expense during the term of probation.

13. <u>NOTIFICATION</u>. Within seven (7) days of the effective date of this Decision, the Respondent shall provide a true copy of this Decision and Accusation to the Chief of Staff or the Chief Executive Officer at every hospital where privileges or membership are extended to Respondent, at any other facility where Respondent engages in the practice of medicine,

including all physician and locum tenens registries or other similar agencies, and to the Chief Executive Officer at every insurance carrier which extends malpractice insurance coverage to Respondent. Respondent shall submit proof of compliance to the Board or its designee within 15 calendar days.

This condition shall apply to any change(s) in hospitals, other facilities or insurance carrier.

- 14. <u>SUPERVISION OF PHYSICIAN ASSISTANTS</u>. During probation, Respondent is prohibited from supervising physician assistants.
- 15. <u>OBEY ALL LAWS</u>. Respondent shall obey all federal, state and local laws, all rules governing the practice of medicine in California and remain in full compliance with any court ordered criminal probation, payments, and other orders.
- 16. <u>QUARTERLY DECLARATIONS</u>. Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations under penalty of perjury on forms provided by the Board, stating whether there has been compliance with all the conditions of probation.

Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations not later than 10 calendar days after the end of the preceding quarter.

17. GENERAL PROBATION REQUIREMENTS.

Compliance with Probation Unit

Respondent shall comply with the Board's probation unit and all terms and conditions of this Decision.

Address Changes

Respondent shall, at all times, keep the Board informed of Respondent's business and residence addresses, email address (if available), and telephone number. Changes of such addresses shall be immediately communicated in writing to the Board or its designee. Under no circumstances shall a post office box serve as an address of record, except as allowed by Business and Professions Code section 2021(b).

Place of Practice

Respondent shall not engage in the practice of medicine in Respondent's or patient's place of residence, unless the patient resides in a skilled nursing facility or other similar licensed

facility.

License Renewal

Respondent shall maintain a current and renewed California physician's and surgeon's license.

Travel or Residence Outside California

Respondent shall immediately inform the Board or its designee, in writing, of travel to any areas outside the jurisdiction of California which lasts, or is contemplated to last, more than thirty (30) calendar days.

In the event Respondent should leave the State of California to reside or to practice Respondent shall notify the Board or its designee in writing 30 calendar days prior to the dates of departure and return.

- 18. <u>INTERVIEW WITH THE BOARD OR ITS DESIGNEE</u>. Respondent shall be available in person upon request for interviews either at Respondent's place of business or at the probation unit office, with or without prior notice throughout the term of probation.
- 19. NON-PRACTICE WHILE ON PROBATION. Respondent shall notify the Board or its designee in writing within 15 calendar days of any periods of non-practice lasting more than 30 calendar days and within 15 calendar days of Respondent's return to practice. Non-practice is defined as any period of time Respondent is not practicing medicine in California as defined in Business and Professions Code sections 2051 and 2052 for at least 40 hours in a calendar month in direct patient care, clinical activity or teaching, or other activity as approved by the Board. All time spent in an intensive training program which has been approved by the Board or its designee shall not be considered non-practice. Practicing medicine in another state of the United States or Federal jurisdiction while on probation with the medical licensing authority of that state or jurisdiction shall not be considered non-practice. A Board-ordered suspension of practice shall not be considered as a period of non-practice.

In the event Respondent's period of non-practice while on probation exceeds 18 calendar months, Respondent shall successfully complete a clinical training program that meets the criteria of Condition 18 of the current version of the Board's "Manual of Model Disciplinary Orders and

28 || ///

Disciplinary Guidelines" prior to resuming the practice of medicine.

Respondent's period of non-practice while on probation shall not exceed two (2) years.

Periods of non-practice will not apply to the reduction of the probationary term.

Periods of non-practice will relieve Respondent of the responsibility to comply with the probationary terms and conditions with the exception of this condition and the following terms and conditions of probation: Obey All Laws; and General Probation Requirements.

- 20. <u>COMPLETION OF PROBATION</u>. Respondent shall comply with all financial obligations (e.g., restitution, probation costs) not later than 120 calendar days prior to the completion of probation. Upon successful completion of probation, Respondent's certificate shall be fully restored.
- 21. <u>VIOLATION OF PROBATION</u>. Failure to fully comply with any term or condition of probation is a violation of probation. If Respondent violates probation in any respect, the Board, after giving Respondent notice and the opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed. If an Accusation, or Petition to Revoke Probation, or an Interim Suspension Order is filed against Respondent during probation, the Board shall have continuing jurisdiction until the matter is final, and the period of probation shall be extended until the matter is final.
- 22. <u>LICENSE SURRENDER</u>. Following the effective date of this Decision, if
 Respondent ceases practicing due to retirement or health reasons or is otherwise unable to satisfy
 the terms and conditions of probation, Respondent may request to surrender his or her license.
 The Board reserves the right to evaluate Respondent's request and to exercise its discretion in
 determining whether or not to grant the request, or to take any other action deemed appropriate
 and reasonable under the circumstances. Upon formal acceptance of the surrender, Respondent
 shall within 15 calendar days deliver Respondent's wallet and wall certificate to the Board or its
 designee and Respondent shall no longer practice medicine. Respondent will no longer be subject
 to the terms and conditions of probation. If Respondent re-applies for a medical license, the
 application shall be treated as a petition for reinstatement of a revoked certificate.

| 1 | 23. PROBATION MONITORING COSTS. Respondent shall pay the costs associated | | |
|----|---|--|--|
| 2 | with probation monitoring each and every year of probation, as designated by the Board, which | | |
| 3 | may be adjusted on an annual basis. Such costs shall be payable to the Medical Board of | | |
| 4 | California and delivered to the Board or its designee no later than January 31 of each calendar | | |
| 5 | year. | | |
| 6 | ACCEPTANCE | | |
| 7 | I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order and have fully | | |
| 8 | discussed it with my attorney, Gerald Blank, Esq. I understand the stipulation and the effect it | | |
| 9 | will have on my Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A76782. I enter into this Stipulated | | |
| 10 | Settlement and Disciplinary Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be | | |
| 11 | bound by the Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California. | | |
| 12 | | | |
| 13 | DATED: 7/31/12 BRANDON M. ROSS, M.D. | | |
| 14 | Respondent | | |
| 15 | I have read and fully discussed with Respondent Brandon M. Ross, M.D., the terms and | | |
| 16 | conditions and other matters contained in the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order. | | |
| 17 | I approve its form and content. | | |
| 18 | DATED: 7/3//12 | | |
| 19 | GERALD BLANK, ESQ. Attorney for Respondent | | |
| 20 | | | |
| 21 | | | |
| 22 | /// - | | |
| 23 | | | |
| 24 | /// | | |
| 25 | | | |
| 26 | /// | | |
| 27 | /// | | |
| 28 | /// · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | |
| | . 16 | | |

ENDORSEMENT The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully submitted for consideration by the Medical Board of California of the Department of Consumer Affairs. Dated: 8/1/1> Respectfully submitted, KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California THOMAS S. LAZAR Supervising Deputy Attorney General Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Complainant SD2012703709

Exhibit A

Accusation No. 10-2012-221360

| 1 | | | |
|------|---|--|--|
| 1 | Kamala D. Harris | | |
| 2 | Attorney General of California THOMAS S. LAZAR | | |
| 3 | Supervising Deputy Attorney General ALEXANDRA M. ALVAREZ | FILED | |
| 4 | Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 187442 | STATE OF CALIFORNIA MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA | |
| 5 | 110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101 | SACTIMENTO JULY 3, 2012. | |
| 6 | P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266 | ANALTS! | |
| 7 | Telephone: (619) 645-3141 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061 | | |
| 8 | Attorneys for Complainant | | |
| 9 | BEFORE THE | | |
| 1Ó | MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS | | |
| 11 | STATE OF CALIFORNIA | | |
| 12 | In the Matter of the Accusation Against: | Case No. 10-2012-221360 | |
| 13 | Brandon Ross, M.D. | OAH No. | |
| 14 | 4150 Regents Park Row, Ste 245 La Jolla, CA 92037 | ACCUSATION | |
| 15 | Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. | | |
| 16 | A76782 Respondent. | | |
| 17 | respondent | | |
| 18 | Complainant alleges: | | |
| 19 | PARTIES | | |
| . 20 | 1. Linda K. Whitney (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official | | |
| 21 | capacity as the Executive Director of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer | | |
| 22 | Affairs. | | |
| 23 | 2. On or about October 12, 2001, the Medical Board of California issued | | |
| 24 | Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 76782 to Brandon Ross (Respondent). The | | |
| 25 | Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the | | |
| 26 | charges brought herein and will expire on August 31, 2013, unless renewed. | | |
| 27 | 3. On or about June 7, 2012, an ex parte Interim Order of Suspension was issued | | |
| 28 | immediately suspending Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 76782 and prohibiting | | |
| | II. | | |

22.

respondent from practicing medicine in the State of California pending a noticed hearing. On or about June 18, 2012, a noticed hearing on the Interim Order of Suspension was conducted, and the Interim Order of Suspension was affirmed. As a result, respondent remains suspended from the practice of medicine as of the date of the filing of this Accusation.

JURISDICTION

- 4. This Accusation is brought before the Medical Board of California (Board),
 Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section
 references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.
- 5. Section 2227 of the Code provides that a licensee who is found guilty under the Medical Practice Act may have his or her license revoked, suspended for a period not to exceed one year, placed on probation and required to pay the costs of probation monitoring, be publicly reprimanded, or have such other action taken in relation to discipline as the Division deems proper.
 - 6. Section 2234 of the Code states:

"The Division of Medical Quality¹ shall take action against any licensee who is charged with unprofessional conduct.² In addition to other provisions of this article, unprofessional conduct includes, but is not limited to, the following:

- "(a) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, assisting in or abetting the violation of, or conspiring to violate any provision of this chapter [Chapter 5, the Medical Practice Act].
 - "(b) Gross negligence.

California Business and Professions Code section 2002, as amended and effective January 1, 2008, provides that, unless otherwise expressly provided, the term "board" as used in the State Medical Practice Act (Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code, §§2000, et. seq.) means the "Medical Board of California," and references to the "Division of Medical Quality" and "Division of Licensing" in the Act or any other provision of law shall be deemed to refer to the Board.

² Unprofessional conduct under California Business and Professions Code section 2234 is conduct which breaches the rules of ethical code of the medical profession, or conduct which is unbecoming to a member in good standing of the medical profession, and which demonstrates an unfitness to practice medicine. (Shea v. Board of Medical Examiners (1978) 81 Cal.App.3d 564, 575.)

- "(c) Repeated negligent acts. To be repeated, there must be two or more negligent acts or omissions. An initial negligent act or omission followed by a separate and distinct departure from the applicable standard of care shall constitute repeated negligent acts.
- "(1) An initial negligent diagnosis followed by an act or omission medically appropriate for that negligent diagnosis of the patient shall constitute a single negligent act.
- "(2) When the standard of care requires a change in the diagnosis, act, or omission that constitutes the negligent act described in paragraph (1), including, but not limited to, a reevaluation of the diagnosis or a change in treatment, and the licensee's conduct departs from the applicable standard of care, each departure constitutes a separate and distinct breach of the standard of care.
 - "(d) Incompetence.
- "(e) The commission of any act involving dishonesty or corruption which is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and surgeon.
- "(f) Any action or conduct which would have warranted the denial of a certificate."

7. Section 2238 of the Code states:

"A violation of any federal statute or federal regulation or any of the statutes or regulations of this state regulating dangerous drugs or controlled substances constitutes unprofessional conduct."

- 8. Section 2239 of the Code states:
- "(a) The use or prescribing for or administering to himself or herself, of any controlled substance; or the use of any of the dangerous drugs specified in Section 4022, or of alcoholic beverages, to the extent, or in such a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to the licensee, or to any other person or to the public, or to

the extent that such use impairs the ability of the licensee to practice medicine safely or more than one misdemeanor or any felony involving the use, consumption, or self-administration of any of the substances referred to in this section, or any combination thereof, constitutes unprofessional conduct. The record of the conviction is conclusive evidence of such unprofessional conduct. "³

£ 1.

9. Section 2242 of the Code states:

- "(a) Prescribing, dispensing, or furnishing dangerous drugs as defined in Section 4022 without an appropriate prior examination and a medical indication, constitutes unprofessional conduct.
- "(b) No licensee shall be found to have committed unprofessional conduct within the meaning of this section if, at the time the drugs were prescribed, dispensed, or furnished, any of the following applies:
- "(1) The licensee was a designated physician and surgeon or podiatrist serving in the absence of the patient's physician and surgeon or podiatrist, as the case may be, and if the drugs were prescribed, dispensed, or furnished only as necessary to maintain the patient until the return of his or her practitioner, but in any case no longer than 72 hours.
- "(2) The licensee transmitted the order for the drugs to a registered nurse or to a licensed vocational nurse in an inpatient facility, and if both of the following conditions exist:
- "(A) The practitioner had consulted with the registered nurse or licensed vocational nurse who had reviewed the patient's records.

³ There is a nexus between a physician's use of alcoholic beverages and his or her fitness to practice medicine, established by the Legislature in section 2239, "in all cases where a licensed physician used alcoholic beverages to the extent or in such a manner as to pose a danger to himself or others." (*Watson v. Superior Court (Medical Board)* (2009) 176 Cal.App.4th 1407, 1411.)

- "(B) The practitioner was designated as the practitioner to serve in the absence of the patient's physician and surgeon or podiatrist, as the case may be.
- "(3) The licensee was a designated practitioner serving in the absence of the patient's physician and surgeon or podiatrist, as the case may be, and was in possession of or had utilized the patient's records and ordered the renewal of a medically indicated prescription for an amount not exceeding the original prescription in strength or amount or for more than one refill.
- "(4) The licensee was acting in accordance with Section 120582 of the Health and Safety Code."
 - 10. Section 2266 of the Code states:

"The failure of a physician and surgeon to maintain adequate and accurate records relating to the provision of services to their patients constitutes unprofessional conduct."

11. Section 822 of the Code states:

"If a licensing agency determines that its licentiate's ability to practice his or her profession safely is impaired because the licentiate is mentally ill, or physically ill affecting competency, the licensing agency may take action by any one of the following methods:

- "(a) Revoking the licentiate's certificate or license.
- "(b) Suspending the licentiate's right to practice.
- "(c) Placing the licentiate on probation.
- "(d) Taking such other action in relation to the licentiate as the licensing agency in its discretion deems proper.

"The licensing agency shall not reinstate a revoked or suspended certificate or license until it has received competent evidence of the absence or control of the condition which caused its action and until it is satisfied that with due regard for the public health and safety the person's right to practice his or her profession may be safely reinstated."

8

10 11

12 13

1415

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

2324

25

26

27 28

| /

12. Section 11170 of the Health and Safety Code states:

"No person shall prescribe, administer, or furnish a controlled substance for himself."

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Self Administration of Controlled Substances)

A 76782 to disciplinary action under sections 2227 and 2234, as defined by section 2239, subdivision (a), of the Code, in that he has administered controlled substances to himself, as more particularly alleged hereinafter:

October 21, 2011 Arrest

On or about October 21, 2011, San Diego Police Department (SDPD) officers responded to a report by A.R., respondent's estranged wife, that respondent had threatened to kill himself with a handgun. According to the police report, respondent and A.R. were having marital problems because of respondent's substance abuse problems. The police report states that A.R. received text message pictures from respondent in the early morning, including a picture of a gun on a table and a picture of a gun in his mouth. Along with the pictures, respondent texted A.R. that "I will either be in the morgue this afternoon or an institution...My life is over...Nothing matters anymore." Respondent also left A.R. specific instructions regarding his life insurance policy payouts. Respondent sent A.R. another text stating, "By the way, if you call the police, that will immediately make my decision, I'm not going to jail on top of everything else." During the SDPD investigation, A.R. told officers that respondent was an alcoholic and narcotics addict and had recently attained 30 days sobriety. SDPD located respondent's vehicle in a parking lot and after brief negotiations respondent surrendered to police. A search of respondent's vehicle was conducted and it revealed a loaded gun in an unlocked closed case on the passenger seat of

///

the car and next to the gun in the case were two (2) magazines containing eight (8) bullets each. The search of respondent's trunk revealed a blue backpack containing \$25,004.00, four (4) Hydrocodone⁴ pills in an unidentified orange pill bottle, four (4) unused hypodermic needles, and six (6) unused tubes and plungers.

- B. During the SDPD investigation, C.D., MFT, of the Psychiatric Emergency Response Team (PERT) evaluated respondent and stated he "presented as very agitated and was sweating profusely" and admitted he had consumed two bottles of wine the night prior and about a "quarter of an eight ball of cocaine around 6 this morning." According to the report, respondent told C.D. that he had been suicidal for four days since his wife asked for a divorce and had not slept for about two days. Respondent told C.D. that he was a medical doctor and operated his private practice, but took three months off for drug rehab and relapsed on alcohol and cocaine. Respondent admitted to taking the gram of cocaine and consuming two bottles of wine by 1500 hours that afternoon. He reported to C.D. his history of depression and use of Prozac for the management of his symptoms. C.D. placed respondent on a Welfare and Institutions Code section 5150 hold based on his mental health and medical issues related to his drug and alcohol condition and he was transported to S.M. Hospital for further evaluation.
- C. Based on the incident, a warrant was issued for respondent for violations Business and Professions Code section 4140 [Possession of a Hypodermic Needle], Health and Safety Code sections 11364 [Possession of Controlled Substance Paraphernalia] and 11350, subdivision (a), [Possession of a

⁴ Hydrocodone is a Schedule II controlled substance pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 11055, subdivision (b), and a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022.

⁵ Cocaine is a Schedule II controlled substance pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 11056, subdivision (b), and a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022.

Controlled Substance without a Prescription], and Penal Code section 12031, subdivision (a), [Possession of a Loaded Firearm].

D. On or about January 31, 2012, a criminal complaint was filed against respondent in the case entitled *The People of the State of California v. Brandon Michael Ross*, Superior Court Case No. M143593, charging him with violating Penal Code section 12031(a)(1) [Carrying a Loaded Firearm on One's Person], Business and Professions Code section 4060 [Possession of a Controlled Substance without a Prescription], and Business and Professions Code section 4140 [Unauthorized Possession of Hypodermic Needle or Syringe]. The criminal case is still pending.

October 21 - 26, 2011 Hospitalizations

- E. On or about October 21, 2011, at approximately 5:10 p.m., respondent was admitted to S.M. Hospital for evaluation of his 5150 Welfare and Institutions Code hold. Dr. M.D. saw respondent in the emergency department and continued the 5150 hold recommended by PERT. Although respondent stated he was just messing around in order to scare A.R., he admitted to cocaine and alcohol use earlier that morning and reported thoughts of suicide. Respondent had told PERT that he had been feeling suicidal for several days due to his wife asking for a divorce and had relapsed on wine and cocaine. A diagnostic laboratory drug test was conducted which resulted in positive findings for the presence for cocaine, opiates and Tylenol in respondent's system. Dr. M.D. cleared respondent to be transferred to A.P. Institute, a psychiatric facility with a bed available.
- F. On or about October 22, 2011, respondent was transferred to A.P. Institute. Respondent was attended by psychiatrist Dr. J.B. who diagnosed him with major depressive disorder, recurrent; alcohol dependency and opioid dependency. He was held on the 5150 until October 24, 2011, and then placed on an involuntary 14 day hold on October 25, 2011. After being treated and evaluated by Dr. J.B., respondent was discharged on October 26, 2011. He was

given prescriptions for Wellbutrin XL^6 150 mg daily, Fluoxetine 7 60 mg daily, and Propecia 1 mg daily.

Medical Board Investigation

- G. On or about March 5, 2012, Medical Board Investigator Tristina Craft (SI Craft) received a Controlled Substance Utilization Review & Evaluation System (CURES) report of the prescribing history of respondent March 5, 2009, through March 5, 2012. The CURES report revealed 804 prescriptions of which 16 of them were issued to nine patients. All of the remaining prescriptions were prescribed as "Dr. Ross office."
- H. On or about April 19, 2012, SI Craft received an Automated Reports & Consolidated Orders System (ARCOS) report from Lucia Bartolomeo, Drug Enforcement Agency Diversion Investigator. The ARCOS report shows multiple purchases of controlled narcotics beginning in January 16, 2006, and consistently through February 28, 2012. Respondent's wholesale order purchases included, but were not limited to, the following: Hydrocodone, Demerol, Meperidine HCI injection, and Methadone. Since October 7, 2009, through February 28, 2012, each month respondent ordered 500 plus Hydrocodone Bitratrate/Hydrocodone Acetaminophen at 10 mg, 325 mg and 500 mg tablets.

⁶ Wellbutrin XL (Bupropion) is an antidepressant medication. Bupropion is used to treat major depressive disorder and seasonal affective disorder.

⁷ Prozac (fluoxetine) is a selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors antidepressant. It is used to treat major depressive disorder, bulimia nervosa (an eating disorder) obsessive-compulsive disorder, panic disorder, and premenstrual dysphoric disorder.

⁸ Demerol (meperidine) is a opioid pain reliever. Meperidine is a Schedule II controlled substance pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 11055, subdivision (c), and a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022.

⁹ Methadone is a Schedule II controlled substance pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 11055, subdivision (c), and a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022.

1

8

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22.

23 24

25

26

27

28

On or about May 9, 2012, respondent was interviewed by SI Craft, Dr. B.M., and Deputy Attorney General Alexandra M. Alvarez. During the interview, respondent was asked whether he was under the care of a psychiatrist. Respondent indicated that he had seen a psychiatrist for an intake appointment approximately three weeks prior to the interview, but did not want to see him again because he did not like him. He reported that he was currently taking Prozac 60 mg daily, Wellbutrin 100 mg daily and Propecia 1 mg daily. Respondent indicated that he had gotten Prozac from his office before. In 2006 or 2007, respondent saw a psychiatrist for his addiction problems on the request of his wife.

Respondent reported that he enrolled in Pacific Assistance Group (PAG), a diversion program, in which he agreed to random drug testing once a week, to attend therapy sessions with other professionals twice a week, and to attend a minimum of three AA meetings per week. As part of the program, his car has an Interlock device that will not allow the car to start if it detects alcohol. Respondent reported that he entered into the program at the end of January 2012 and the minimum amount of time in the program is three years. Respondent did a PAG intake on or about January 19, 2012. He has been in compliance with the terms of his contract agreement by participating in health support groups, 12 step meetings, and random weekly drug testing. PAG allowed respondent to pick his practice monitor, who is J.S. J.S. is respondent's medical assistant at his hair transplant office and not a licensed professional.

Respondent reported that he had been in a rehabilitation center named Capo by the Sea in July 2011, for approximately one month. Respondent reported that he went to Capo by the Sea because he had an addiction problem and had been using cocaine and alcohol. He had been using a gram of cocaine a day for months. Respondent attended Capo by the Sea for rehabilitation from July 20, 2011, through August 11, 2011. The Capo by the Sea records indicate that respondent

entered their program on July 20, 2011 for alcohol and cocaine dependency. Respondent left Capo by the Sea against their advice on July 26, 2011, and was readmitted on July 28, 2011. He reported that he sought treatment because his wife asked for a divorce. Respondent admitted he had been consuming 3 wine bottles daily and 1 to 2 eight balls daily of cocaine and that his longest period of sobriety had been 1 year approximately 10 years ago. According to the Capo by the Sea records, respondent was only there for three weeks from July 20, 2011, through August 11, 2011. Upon his discharge, respondent had failed to meet his treatment plan objectives.

- L. Respondent relapsed and returned to Capo by the Sea in September 2011, for a two week period. Respondent attended Capo by the Sea for inpatient rehabilitation for the time period September 14, 2011, through September 28, 2011. The Capo by the Sea records indicate that respondent entered into the program on September 14, 2011, after relapsing on three (3) bottles of wine daily and 3 1/2 grams of cocaine daily. Respondent reported that he had shown up to his child's birthday party drunk. The records indicated that respondent only partially met his treatment plan objectives and refused to stay longer for inpatient treatment.
- M. During the interview, respondent was asked whether he had an addiction problem with Hydrocodone. Respondent admitted that he used Hydrocodone off and on for years since approximately 2002. He also admitted that he took Hydrocodone from his office supply. Respondent dispensed Hydrocodone for his patients at his office, so he was able to take the Hydrocodone for his own personal use from his office. He would order the Hydrocodone and dispense it to his patients. Respondent admitted that he had been addicted to Hydrocodone off and on from 2002 and that it was really hard to kick the addiction. He reported that the last time he had Hydrocodone was probably in November 2011.
- N. During the interview, respondent was asked about his alcohol dependency. Respondent admitted that his alcohol dependency started in 2003 or

2.1

2004 and was off and on for years. Respondent stated that the last time he had a drink of alcohol was on March 4, 2012, and prior to that January 5, 2012.

- O. During the interview, respondent was asked about his cocaine use. Respondent admitted that he started using cocaine in approximately 2010 and that the last time he used cocaine was on January 5, 2012. Respondent admitted that he tried the cocaine once and then was hooked on it. Respondent reported that he first obtained cocaine from a patient. He went to coffee with his patient and was offered the cocaine. Respondent reported that he obtained the cocaine from his patient the first time and then subsequently obtained it from his brother.
- P. During the interview, respondent was asked about medications dispensed through his office. Respondent reported that he had been dispensing medications to his patients from his practice since approximately 2004.

 Respondent stated that he has the medications in a locked box and does not have a key to the box. J.S., his unlicensed medical assistant, has the only key to the locked medication box. Respondent reported that he initiated the locked medication box in 2010 in an attempt to remain sober. J.S. is responsible for stocking the medication box and independently decides what medications are ordered.
- Q. During the interview, respondent was asked about the CURES report and ARCOS report. From September 2009 through January 2010, respondent ordered for his office at total of 2600 tablets of Hydrocodone. During the same time period, respondent ordered an additional 4300 tablets of Hydrocodone for his office for a total of 7000 tablets of Hydrocodone in a six month time period. Respondent admitted that he was taking them for his personal use. He reported that he took approximately 20 to 30 tablets of Hydrocodone every evening. In 2008, respondent ordered Methadone for his office. Respondent admitted that he used the Methadone in an attempt to wean himself off the Hydrocodone and that it did not work.

R. During the interview, respondent was asked about his Demerol use. Respondent reported that he had one patient who required Demerol injections. From November 14, 2007, through September 17, 2009, respondent ordered 26 vials of Demerol injectable. Respondent reported he used it three times on his patient, but admitted the rest was used for his personal use. Respondent stated that he developed rhabdomyolysis from using Demerol. Respondent reported that he injected approximately 5 to 10 cc's of Demerol every evening and developed a real opiate tolerance.

- S. Respondent reported that, in approximately 2008, he went to a detox center for a rapid detox from opiates. He reported that the detox helped with the withdrawal symptoms, but he was not mentally prepared to be sober at that time. He did not do any conjunctive counseling. Respondent has attempted to stop abusing drugs over and over again.
- T. During the interview, respondent was asked about the October 21, 2011, incident. Respondent reported that he just wanted to make his wife feel bad and that he was not suicidal. He stated that he was not planning on taking his life. Respondent reported that he took the picture of the gun for effect and had made sure that there were no bullets in the gun. He admitted that he had been drinking and snorting cocaine and then sobered up and realized it was a stupid idea. He drank approximately two bottles of wine and used a gram of cocaine. The needles found in his trunk were for picking ingrown hair from his neck and the syringes were for giving medication to his children. He did not know that it was illegal to possess them. Respondent did not know where he got the 4 Hydrocodone pills that were found in his backpack, but it might have been from his office. He tested positive for Tylenol at S.M. Hospital. Respondent stated that if he tested positive Tylenol at S.M. Hospital, then he must have taken Hydrocodone that day also. He stated that he probably got them from his office.

- U. Respondent admitted to smuggling a small amount of cocaine in a nasal spray container on a trip to Hawaii in April 2011.
- V. During the interview, respondent was asked how he obtained Hydrocodone during 2011. Respondent stated that he must have gotten it from his office. He stated that he probably "did some weasely thing like you know, like wait until the lockbox was open, and then then take a bottle or something...but I I don't recall how I did it."

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Use of Dangerous Drugs and Alcohol to an Extent or in a Manner Dangerous to the Licensee, Others, or the Public)

A 76782 to disciplinary action under sections 2227 and 2234, as defined by section 2239, subdivision (a), of the Code, in that he has used a dangerous drugs, and has consumed alcohol, to an extent or in a manner, dangerous to himself or another person, or to the public, or to an extent that such use impaired his ability to practice medicine safely, as more particularly described in paragraph 13, above, which is hereby incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Violation of State Statutes Regulating Controlled Substances and Drugs)

- 15. Respondent has further subjected his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 76782 to disciplinary action under sections 2227 and 2234, as defined by section 2238, of the Code, in that he has violated state statutes regulating dangerous drugs or controlled substances, as more particularly alleged hereinafter:
 - A. Paragraphs 13 and 14, above, are hereby incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
 - B. Respondent has repeatedly used dangerous drugs and controlled substances, to the extent, or in such a manner as to be dangerous to injurious to himself, or to any other person, or the public, in violation of section 2339, subdivision (a), of the Code; and

C Respondent repeatedly administered controlled substances to himself, in violation of Health and Safety Code section 11170, and section 2239, subdivision (a), of the Code.

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Gross Negligence)

- 16. Respondent has further subjected his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 76782 to disciplinary action under sections 2227 and 2234, as defined by section 2234, subdivision (b), of the Code, in that he has committed gross negligence in his practice of medicine, as more particularly alleged hereinafter:
 - A. Paragraphs 13, 14, and 15, above, are hereby incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
 - B. During the interview, respondent was asked about his current medical practice. Respondent reported that he has been in private solo practice since 2000 as a hair transplant specialist and that his patients usually receive Versed 2 to 5 mg and Valium 10 mg for sedation prior to their transplant. The procedure is done with conscious sedation. Respondent has the capability to start an IV and has a crash cart; however, he does not know what is in the crash cart because it has been a long time since he has looked in the crash cart. Respondent admitted that he is not ACLS¹⁰ certified for at least two years.
 - C. Respondent committed gross negligence which included, but was not limited to, the following:
 - (1) Respondent took controlled substances and dangerous drugs for his own use;
 - (2) Respondent allowed a controlled substance lock box to be under the supervision and control of an unlicensed employee;

Advanced cardiac life support (ACLS) refers to a set of clinical interventions for the urgent treatment of cardiac arrest, stroke, and other life threatening medical emergencies, as well as the knowledge and skills to deploy those interventions.

- (3) Respondent failed to be prepared for emergencies by having an updated crash cart and being familiar with the crash cart; and
- (4) Respondent failed to be ACLS certified while performing conscious sedation on his patients.

FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Repeated Negligent Acts)

- 17. Respondent has further subjected his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 76782 to disciplinary action under sections 2227 and 2234, as defined by section 2234, subdivision (c), of the Code, in that he has committed repeated negligent acts in his practice of medicine and in his care and treatment of S.S., as more particularly alleged hereinafter:
 - A. Paragraphs 13, 14, 15, and 16, above, are hereby incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein;
 - B. Respondent committed repeated negligent acts in his care and treatment of patient S.S. which included, but was not limited to, the following:
 - (1) Respondent admitted to giving S.S., a friend, a prescription for Hydrocodone on or about February 11, 2012, without an examination or documentation; and
 - (2) Respondent failed to perform an appropriate prior examination on S.S. and did not document his care and treatment of S.S.

SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Prescribing Without a Good Faith Prior Examination)

18. Respondent has further subjected his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 76782 to disciplinary action under sections 2227 and 2234, as defined by section 2242, of the Code, in that he prescribed, dispensed, or furnished dangerous drugs as defined in section 4022 without an appropriate prior examination and a medical indication, in his care and treatment of patients S.S., as more particularly alleged in paragraph 17, above, and which is hereby incorporated by reference as if fully set forth.

///

2

3

4